

Research Article

Role of right liver lobe diameter /albumin ratio in the assessment of esophageal varices in Egyptian patients with liver cirrhosis

Aly H. Al-Dahrouty, Ehab Abdlrehem, Hamdy mokareb and Mahmoud S. Desouky

Department of Tropical Medicine,
Faculty of Medicine, Minia University.

Abstract

Background and Study Aims: Portal hypertension is a frequent complication of liver cirrhosis, and plays a crucial role in the transition from the pre clinical to the clinical phase of the disease, Bleeding from ruptured oesophagogastric varices is the most severe complication of cirrhosis, and is the cause of death in about one third of cirrhotic patients. Cirrhotic patients frequently undergo screening endoscopy for the presence of varices. In the future, this social and medical burden will increase due to the greater number of patients with chronic liver disease and their improved survival. In this study, our aim was to determine the predictive value of noninvasive parameters (Rt. lobe diameter/ albumin ratio) in the prediction of esophageal varices. **Patients and Methods:** 100 patients with liver cirrhosis with no history of variceal haemorrhage were subjected to clinical examination; laboratory investigations (CBC, Liver function tests, prothrombin time and concentration), modified Child-Pugh score and Abdominal ultrasonography (studying the right lobe and left lobe diameter, the presence of periportal thickening, the splenic longest axis and the presence of ascites and Portal vein diameter, Right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio were calculated for all patients. Upper endoscopy was done for detection and grading of esophageal varices. **Results:** This study revealed that 130 (86.6%) of patients had oesophageal varices 10, The predictors that showed statistically significantly associated with the presence of varices were splenomegaly, thrombocytopenia, shrunken Rt. hepatic lobe, and the Increased right lobe diameter/Albumin. **Conclusion:** Right lobe diameter/Albumin ratio is good predictor for the presence of esophageal varices.

Key Words: albumin ratio, liver, hypertension, Liver function tests

Introduction

Cirrhosis is a pathologic condition characterized by fibrosis of the liver parenchyma and evidence of regenerative activity, resulting in portal hypertension (Neil Rajoriya and David Gorard, 2013).

Esophageal varices is related to portal hypertension which commonly accompanies the presence of liver cirrhosis, with a prevalence that can range from 40 to 80% in patients with cirrhosis (Garcia-Tsao and Bosch, 2010).

The yearly rate of development of “new” varices is about 0-10% per year in patients with cirrhosis, and the progression from small to large varices occur in 10% to 20% of cases after 1 year. In the 5 years following the first detection of esophageal varices, the risk of variceal bleeding ranges between 20% to 30% and results in 20% to 50% mortality within a week of the first bleeding episode (Garcia-Tsao et al., 2010).

The current recommendations are that all cirrhotic patients should be screened for the presence of varices at the time of initial diagnosis of cirrhosis. Follow-up endoscopy should be performed at 2-3 years intervals

in compensated patients with no varices, and at 1-2 years intervals in compensated patients with small varices (de Franchis, 2010).

Although all patients with cirrhosis will eventually develop esophagogastric varices at a given point in time, a variable proportion of unselected cirrhotic patients will not have varices. Therefore, performing endoscopy in all patients to detect varices implies a number of unnecessary endoscopies (D'Amico et al., 2001)

These recommendations imply a considerable burden of endoscopies and related costs; they require that patients repeatedly undergo an unpleasant invasive procedure, even though up to 50% of them may still not have developed esophageal varices 10 years after the diagnosis of cirrhosis. Therefore, these guidelines might not be ideal for clinical practice (D'Amico and Morabito, 2004)

It would be impossible to perform endoscopic examinations at regular interval for all patients with chronic liver disease and it may be more cost-effective to routine screening of varices. On the other hand, many patients refuse repeated endoscopies because of discomfort and fear of transmission of infection (Thomopoulos et al., 2003).

Endoscopic examination is considered an invasive procedure. Moreover, sedation of a cirrhotic patient to perform endoscopy may be hazardous (Mc Guire, 2001). Also, diagnostic upper gastrointestinal endoscopy may contribute to bacterial infections in patients with liver cirrhosis due to associated disruption of the natural barriers (Almeida et al., 2001).

As a consequence, several non-invasive tools have been evaluated as an alternatives to endoscopy, to avoid unnecessary endoscopy in low-risk patients, and to identify noninvasive factors that may predict the presence of esophageal varices (Kim et al., 2010, Sebastiani et al., 2010 and Angelo Zambam et al., 2013)

As far as the clinical/laboratory parameters are concerned, some of them have been found to correlate with the presence of esophageal varices. However, none of these parameters (or combination of them) has been proven precise enough to make endoscopy unnecessary (D'Amico et al, 2001).

Patient and methods

100 patients with liver cirrhosis with no history of variceal haemorrhage were subjected to clinical examination; laboratory investigations (CBC, Liver function tests, prothrombin time and concentration), modified Child-Pugh score and Abdominal ultrasonography (studying the right lobe and left lobe diameter, the presence of periportal thickening, the splenic longest axis and the presence of ascites and Portal vein diameter. Right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio were calculated for all patients. Upper endoscopy was done for detection and grading of esophageal varices. The patients were grouped according to the results of the upper GIT endoscopy, into Patient with oesophageal varices (130 patients) and Patients without oesophageal varices (20 patients).

All collected data were analyzed Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, version 20.0).

Results

Esophageal varices were present in 130 patients (86.7%), In the current study, the presence of varices was significantly higher in Child C patients and Child B patients compared to Child A patients (45%, 35%, 21%) respectively with p value = 0.003.

In univariate analysis for the presence of varices between patients with and without varices, statistical significance were present for splenomegaly ($p < 0.001$), hypoalbuminaemia ($p < 0.002$), thrombocytopenia ($p < 0.001$), Right lobe diameter ($p < 0.002$) and Increased right lobe diameter/Albumin ratio ($p < 0.002$). The multivariate analysis (by logistic regression stepwise method) of the significant variables (by univariate analysis); splenomegaly, thrombocytopenia,

shrunk Rt. hepatic lobe and the Increased right lobe diameter/Albumin independent risk factors for the presence of varices.

Discussion

In our study 86.6% of patients had esophageal varices, the prevalence of esophageal varices was estimate in multiple studies, this results are in agreement with that obtained by Pagliaro et al., 1990 who reported prevalence of 97% in cirrhotic patients with ascites, Recently; Hesham et al., 2010, Serag and Dalia, 2011, Ghada et al., 2011 and in studies done on Egyptian patients reported prevalence of 91.7%, 82% and 83.2% respectively. But Emad et al., 2009 reported lower prevalence (64.3%) in Egyptian cirrhotic patients and that was due to choosing of compensated child class A patients only in their study.

An enlarged spleen was detected clinically and by ultrasonography in the studied patients, and was significantly prevalent in patients with varices {98 (44.6%) P=0.01}, the same finding was reported in many studies, Thomopoulos et al. (2003) in a study done on 184 patients with liver cirrhosis they found that splenomegaly were independent predictor for the presence of esophageal varices, Sharma and Aggarwal (2007) reported the same finding. Serag and Dalia 2011 on their study which conducted on 100 Egyptian cirrhotic patients, for noninvasive prediction of esophageal varices, they found that enlarged spleen gave high accuracy for prediction of esophageal varices.

Sherlock and Dooley 2011, reported that an enlarged spleen is the most important clinical sign of portal hypertension and found in almost all patients. It has been reported that portal venous congestion leads to splenomegaly.

Analysis of data in our study showed that low level of serum albumin was significantly prevalent in patients with varices {3.10±0.77 versus 3.07±0.84 and (p=0.02)}, Hypoalbuminemia in cirrhosis is multifactorial and may be due to reduced production (liver parenchyma replaced by

fibrous tissue), or increased loss through gut (portal gastropathy/enteropathy) all related to portal hypertension (Torres et al., 1998). Similar result had been reported in several studies; Schepis et al. (2001), on their study conducted on {One hundred forty-three consecutive cirrhotic patients} for prediction of esophageal varices found that low serum albumin was more prevalent with patients with esophageal varices (p=0.008).

Madhotra et al., (2002), found that low serum albumin was significantly associated with the presence of esophageal varices with (P value = 0.001).

Hossain et al., 2011, in a study which was done on 100 cirrhotic patients for estimation of hypoalbuminemia and its correlation with development of esophageal varices, concluded that hypoalbuminemia is a good surrogate marker for the presence of esophageal varices.

Ayman et al., 2009 in their study on 120 Egyptian cirrhotic patients found that low serum albumin is significantly associated with presence of varices (P value = 0.009), same results was found on a study by Adel and George 2011.

A low platelet count has been constantly found to be related to the presence of varices (Burton et al., 2007), in our study the mean platelets count was significantly lower in the patients with varices, {107.18±43 versus 140.10±28.10 (P value= 0.001)}.

This result is agreed by the results of Hesham et al., 2010 and Serag et al., 2012 Serag et al., 2012 found that platelet count at cut off value 131 x10³ is a good predictor and showed significant correlation for presence of esophageal varices.

Hesham et al., 2010 concluded that thrombocytopenia can be used to stratify risk for occurrence of esophageal varices in cirrhotic patients and gastroscopy will have a high yield for varices when platelet count is $\leq 130,000/\text{mm}^3$.

Schepis et al., (2001) reported a mean platelets count of $< 100 \times 10^3/mm$ for prediction of esophageal varices in cirrhotic patients. While Zaman et al., (2001) reported a mean platelets count of $< 90 \times 10^3/mm$ in patients with varices versus $128.0 \times 10^3/mm$ for patients without varices, and platelet count of $< 90 \times 10^3/mm$ increased the risk of having esophageal varices by nearly 2.0 fold.

Hong et al., 2009 stated that the discriminating threshold for the presence of varices varies widely ranging between ($68 \times 10^3/mm$) and ($160 \times 10^3/mm$), These results could be explained by variation among studies regarding etiology and stage of liver cirrhosis.

The lower mean platelets count in these studies may be attributed to the high percentage of patients with alcoholic cirrhosis included in these studies, because alcohol has a myelotoxic effect on the bone marrow (Peck-Radosavljevic, 2000).

Thrombopoietin production depends on functional liver cell mass and it is reduced when liver cell mass is severely damaged. This leads to a further decrease in peripheral platelets in patients with advanced liver disease while thrombopoietin production is restored after liver transplantation. This means that, platelet count in cirrhotic patients is considered as an indirect marker of both portal hypertension and impaired liver synthetic capacity (Thomopoulos et al., 2003).

In our study we found that the more advanced the liver disease (according to child class scoring of the patients), the more likely the presence of varices, as expected, esophageal varices were significantly more in Child-class C patients and Child-class B patients compared to Child-class A patients $0.8(40\%)$, $0.4(30\%)$ and $0.2(11\%)$ respectively with P value = 0.0003.

Burton et al., 2007 showed that the incidence of esophageal varices increases with worsening Child-Pugh class and raised a predictive model relying on thrombocytopenia and Child-Pugh class. Burton's model revealed that patients with platelet

count less than $90,000/mm$ will have a probability of 0.07, 0.11 and 0.12 of having any varices, if they are in Child A, B, or C classes, respectively.

Serag et al., 2012 found that advanced Child class (Child class B&C) showed statistically significant correlation with the presence of esophageal varices, Ayman et al., 2009 reported that patients with Child class B and C had higher incidence of esophageal varices compared to Child A patients (39.8% , 43.4% , 16.8%) respectively with p value=0.004.

In our study we found that patients with shrunken right lobe of the liver had significantly high incidence of varices (P = 0.0001).

Sharma and Aggarwal (2007), found that shrunken liver correlated significantly with the presence of varices (P=0.02), Same result reported by Serag et al., 2012 who found significant difference in the live size between the patient with varices and those without varices (P=0.03).

The application of the right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio as a predictor of esophageal varices was first proposed by Alempijevic et al., 2007 in a study of 94 cirrhotic patients, he found that Right liver lobe/albumin ratio correlated with presence of esophageal varices, We found statistical significant difference between the right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio in the patient with varices and those without varices 47.0 ± 17.4 versus 30.9 ± 13.0 (p value= 0.000), the same results were obtained by Hesham et al, (2010), Serag and Dalia, (2011) and Adel and George (2011).

In multivariate logistic regression analysis of the parameters which showed significant difference between patients with varices and those without varices we found that enlarged spleen, thrombocytopenia decreased right lobe diameter, and right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio were the parameter which associated with the presence of esophageal varices.

A receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed and the area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was calculated. The cutoff value of the right lobe diameter/Albumin ration was determined at the point of highest sensitivity and specificity, cut off value were (32.8) where sensitivity and specificity were 83.1% and 70% respectively, the area under curve (AUC) is 0.80% (p=0.002) which has good prediction power for presence of esophageal varices.

Conclusion

Thrombocytopenia, splenomegaly, advanced liver disease (worsen child score) and decreased right lobe diameter, were significantly associated with the presence of varices. The right liver lobe diameter/albumin ratio might be considered a significant predictor for the presence of esophageal varices.

References

1. Adel A. Mahmoud and George S. Riad (2011): A novel non-invasive Ratio for oesophageal varices prediction in HCV- liver cirrhosis Egyptian patients. *Journal of American Science*; 7(11).
2. Alempijevic T., V. Bulat, S. Djuranovic et al., (2007): "Right liver lobe/albumin ratio: contribution to non-invasive assessment of portal hypertension," *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, vol. 13, no. 40, pp. 5331-5335.
3. Almeida D, Lopes AA, Santos-Jesus R, et al., (2001): Comparative study of bacterial infection prevalence between cirrhotic patients with and without upper gastrointestinal bleeding. *Braz J Infect Dis*. 2001 Jun; 24(3): 136-42.
4. Angelo Zambam de Mattos, Angelo Alves de Mattos, Larissa Faraco Daros, et al., (2013): Non invasive prediction of oesophageal varices. *Annals of Hepatology*, 12 (5): 810-814.
5. Ayman Yosry, Rbab Fouad, Mohamad Abdel Bary et al., (2009): Non Invasive Prediction of Varices in Egyptian Cirrhotic Patients, *Med. J. Cairo Univ.*, Vol. 37, No. 1, June: 343-349.

6. Burton J. R., S. Liangpunsakul, J. Lapidus et al., (2007): "Validation of a multivariate model predicting presence and size of varices," *Journal of Clinical Gastroenterology*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 699-705.
7. D'Amico G and Morabito A (2004): Non invasive markers of oesophageal varices: another round not the last, *Hepatology*; 39:30-34
8. D'Amico G, Garcia-Tsao G, Calés P, et al., (2001): Diagnosis of portal hypertension: how and when. In: De Franchis R, ed. *Proceedings of the Third Baveno International Consensus Workshop on Definitions, Methodology and Therapeutic Strategies*. Oxford: Blackwell Science 2001; 36-63.
9. De Franchis R. (2010): "on behalf of the Baveno V Faculty. Revising consensus in portal hypertension: report of the Baveno V consensus workshop on methodology of diagnosis and therapy in portal hypertension," *Journal of Hepatology*, vol. 53, pp. 762-768.
10. Emad Emam, Ayman Ramadan, Mohamad Badway et al., (2009): Prediction of oesophageal varices in patients with compensated cirrhosis: A novel scoring system, *Arab Journal of Gastroenterology* 10 129-134.
11. Garcia-Tsao G, Bosch J. (2010): Management of varices and variceal hemorrhage in cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med*; 362:823-832.
12. Garcia-Tsao G, Friedman S, Iredale J et al., (2010): Now there are many (stages) where before there was one: In search of a pathophysiological classification of cirrhosis. *Hepatology*; 51: 1440-1449
13. Ghada M. Galal, Nabila F. Amin et al., (2011): Can serum fibrosis markers predict medium/large oesophageal varices in patients with liver cirrhosis? *Arab Journal of Gastroenterology* 12, 62-67
14. Hesham Ezz Eldin Said, Engy Yousry Elsayed, Aml Ameen et al., (2010): Cytopenia As A Predictor Of Oesophageal Varices In Patients With Liver Cirrhosis, *Report and Opinion*, 2010; 2(7).

Role of right liver lobe diameter /albumin ratio

15. Hong W, Zhu Q, Huang et al., (2009): Predictors of esophageal varices in patients with HBV-related cirrhosis: a retrospective study *BMC Gastroenterology*, 9:-9-11.
16. Hossain SF, QT Islam, MR Siddiqui et al., (2011): A Study of Hypoalbuminaemia in Chronic Liver Disease and its Correlation with Development of Esophageal Varices, *Bangladesh J Medicine*; 22: 17-20.
17. Kim BK, Han KH, Park JY, et al. (2010): A liver stiffness measurement-based, noninvasive prediction model for high-risk esophageal varices in B-viral liver cirrhosis. *Am J Gastroenterol.*; 105:1382-1390.
18. Madhotra R, Mulcahy HE, Willner I et al., (2002): Prediction of esophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. *J Clin Gastroenterol*; 34:81-80.
19. McGuire BM (2001): Safety of endoscopy in patients with end-stage liver disease. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am*.Jan; 11(1):111-30.
20. Neil Rajoriya and David A. Gorard (2013): *Endoscopic Management of Oesophageal and Gastric Varices, Endoscopy of GI Tract*, Edited by Somchai Amornytotin, ISBN 978-903-01-1034-7, 349.
21. Pagliaro LD Amico G and Bosch J. (1990): The treatment of portal hypertension: A meta-analysis review. *Hepatology*; 22:332-04.
22. Peck-Radosavljevic M. (2000): Thrombocytopenia in liver disease. *Can J Gastroenterol*; 14(suppl D):10-1D
23. Schepis F., C. Camma, D Niceforo et al., (2001): "Which patients with cirrhosis should undergo endoscopic screening for esophageal varices detection?" *Hepatology*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 333-338.
24. Sebastiani G, Tempesta D, Fattovich G, et al. (2010): Prediction of oesophageal varices in hepatic cirrhosis by simple serum non-invasive markers: results of a multicenter, large-scale study. *JHepatol*; 53:630-638.
25. Serag Esmat and Dalia Omran (2011): Study of Noninvasive Predictors of Portal Hypertension in Liver Cirrhotic Egyptian Patients, *Journal of American Science*, 2011; 7(1)
26. Serag Esmat, Dalia Omran and Laila Rashid (2012): Can we consider the right hepatic lobe size/albumin ratio a noninvasive predictor of oesophageal varices in hepatitis C virus-related liver cirrhotic Egyptian patients?, *European Journal of Internal Medicine* 23 (2012) 267-272
27. Sharma SK and Aggarwal R, (2007): Prediction of large esophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis of the liver using clinical, laboratory and imaging parameters. *JGastroenterol Hepatol*; 22(11):1909-10.
28. Sherlock S and Dooley J. (2011): *Diseases of the liver and biliary system*. Twelfth edition. Blackwell Science Inc, USA.
29. Thomopoulos KC, Labropoulou-Karatza C, Mimidis Kpet al., (2002): Non-invasive predictors of the presence of large oesophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. *Dig Liver Dis*; 30:473-478.
30. Torres E, Barros P, Calmet F, (1998): Correlation between serumascites albumin concentration gradient and endoscopic parameters of portal hypertension. *Am J Gastroenterol*; 93: 2172-8.
31. Zaman A, Becker T, Lapidus J, et al., (2001): Risk factors for the presence of varices in cirrhotic patients without a history of variceal hemorrhage, *Arch Intern Med*. 2001 Nov 26; 161 (21): 2064-70.
32. Zaman A., R. Hapke, K. Flora et al., (1999): "Factors predicting the Role of right liver lobe diameter /albumin ratio

presence of esophageal or gastric varices in patients with advanced liver disease,” American Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. ٩٤, no. ١١, pp. ٣٢٩٢–٣٢٩٦.